3

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Michigan

Eastern District of Michigan		
United States of America  v.  Case No. 17-30027-5  Jezrell Grinnell  Defendant  Defendant  )		
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
Part I - Eligibility for Detention  Upon the		
Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or  ✓ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),  the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fa		
and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.		
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)		
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:		
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):		
(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or		
(b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or		
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or		
(d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or		
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:  (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921 (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and		
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving ris to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and		
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and		
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.		

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.  OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons
History of alcohol or substance abuse
Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable residence
Lack of financially responsible sureties
Lack of significant community or family ties to this district

Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status in the United States
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

## OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Defendant comes before the Court for a Pretrial Violation hearing Defendant is charged in a criminal complaint with Conspiracy to Manufacture and Distribute Meth. She appeared on the complaint on February 7, 2017 and was ordered temporarily detained. On February 8, 2017 Defendant appeared for a detention hearing. Pretrial Services in the interim had interviewed Defendant and recommended detention, based upon both risk of flight and danger to the community. Nonetheless the Government agreed to bond with conditions. The Defendant was released on bond with a condition that she reside at her sister's home with her sister and her sister's children. Just a few hours after Defendant's release, at 7:38 p.m., Defendant's sister placed a call to the AUSA. An FBI agent returned the call and interviewed Defendant's sister who advised that when she picked up the Defendant from the courthouse, Defendant advised her that she had no intention of living with her and that if asked the sister should lie and tell PTS that Defendant was there, but unavailable to come to the phone, without telling PTS that Defendant left to stay with her friend Elizabeth White at 1536 Riverview in Port Huron, a home where allegedly drugs are present and used frequently. The sister and Defendant got into an altercation at the house, and Defendant left. The sister advises that Defendant is not welcome to stay with her and her children. Defendant's version is that she was attacked by her sister at the house and she left to live with Elizabeth White because it was a safe house. Neither Elizabeth White nor Defendant's sister has indicated that Defendant is welcome to reside in her home. Pretrial Services recommended after the initial interview that Defendant be detained. This Court agrees that Defendant has quickly proven herself to be unsupervisable; that a preponderance of the evidence establishes her as a flight risk and that there is clear and convincing evidence that Defendant poses a danger to herself and the community. There is no condition or combination of conditions that would assure Defendant's appearance or the safety of the community. Detention is therefore Ordered.

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: February 13, 2017

Mona K. Majzoub, U.S. Magistrate Judge

Mudua K. Mag

Name and Title